ase 3:08-cv-00787-CRB Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 1 of 9 Edgar Perry 2540 Market Avenue San Pablo, CA 94806-4542 (510) 234-1392 3 Plaintiff 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 OAKLAND DIVISION **CO8**-00787 EDGAR PERRY. 9 Case No. Plaintiff. 10 COMPLAINT vs. 111 STEPHANIE WALKER 12 AND DOES 1 THROU 100. 13 Defendants. 14 15 Primo-JURISDICTION: This court is empowered to hear this case by the following law's points-Property rights § 280/81, Pro-16 17 perty damage (adjoining landowners) Ambass 23/8 18 - Repairable injury to property-19 -costs of repairs. Am. Jur. pleading and practice forms. 20 - Damages & 92 and &95 21 - Measure of damages for injuries to real property-original condition restorable. Am. Jur. pleadings and practice for ms., damages § 341, § 342. - Temporary or repairable injury to property. Damages for injury to real peoperty, §42 Am. Jur. proof of facts 2D 247 & 4. Secundo-VENUE: This court is appropriate as willful flooding by defendant occured in this district (Contra Costa County)

-1-

6

7

12

14

Plaintiff prays the court to submit the following ques-2|tions: Constitutionality of plaintiff to own property by right; 3 rights of family members to see for pursue of happiness, each o-4 ther; plaintiff and family rights to not fear for their lives: 5 right of plaintiff to come to court? (Intimidation of plaintiffs.) Does rain water run backwards?

Defendant's property located at 5151 Hilltop Drive. 8 El Sobrante, CA. 94803, an unimcorporated town in the San Francis-9|co East Bay, is above plaintiff's property (which his children and 10 later his grandchild chose to live at after being married) at 11 5153 Hilltop Drive, El Sobrante, CA. 94803.

Reference to 5151 and 5153 is hereby acknowledged. for 13 said addresses.

Does defendant has the right to willfully flood plain-15 tiff's property for 18 (eighteen) years? (water flooding front por-16 ch lead to extensive considerable expenses for previous and futu-17 re replacement and repairs and plaintiff had to disburse for re-18 verse mortgage payoff amount, as well as several more items dama-19 ged in the property in the account of the flooding; to wit: that 20 previous ninety years old lady not being to get off her property, 21 which was flooded all around and underneath and to insist to yell 22 at this plaintiff "you have to let the water go.", that we "have 23 to share the water (as defendant and plaintiff were to take water 24 in equal amounts from a river), because the defendant abborred 25||the rain water in her house (vide exhibit photos numbers 6, 6A, 26 7,8): showing two black hoses leading rain water from her roof first to the upper portion of the fence (which now so called do-28 mestic partner, as previously called husband, in contrast to what

12

13

14

16 **17**|

15

18

19 **2**0

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

1 | the Recorder of the Contra Costa County calls her (defendant) an " 2 unmarried woman" "sole owner of the 5151 property", A. K. Norris), 3||blew holes by circular pressure tool to the said fence in such an exceptional loud and dementing noise to plaintiff's granddaughter asleep; then, once plaintiff blocked such flooding with an about feet long hey bale, two silver hoses showed up by mid seetion of the fence (after plaintiff used five sand bags, an iron wood board and two wheels of a 1982 Pontiae T1000 on the top to stop the flooding in first place, above said fence's position in the lot)plaintiff understood that defendent(domestic partner only would follow her orders, suffice to say that when said defendant would eall upon partner: "Edgar is here, go talk to him, which her partner would reply "I already talked to him" and once all three being together in the common driveway she told him such and he signaled to plaintiff by fingers gesture that she talked too much), plaintiff had no more doubts, would call upon any partner (such as A. K. Norris'sons. Michael Williams. et al. to do her unlawful wishes) would not stop her intent to willfully flood plain tiff property; short of plaintiff to post a wall retainer higher than her house (if safe a structure and the County would allow; which is more to say than what the defendant did to request the County for construction of a slanted driveway as the partner told plaintiff: "Do you know how much I spent to make my property free of flooding?" (Michael Williams handyman to work), because according to one of the top supervisors of the County's Public Works, 255 Glacier Drive, Phone (925) 313-2000, Martinez, Ca. 94553, "she did not requeste any permit or paid any fees ever, for the last eighteen she owns the property"). Vide exhibits numbers 11 and 12.

11|

12| 13|

14

15|

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

27

On December 20th. 2007, at 6:53 A. M., defendant's so called domestic partner, Anthony K. Norris, just prior to move 5151 trash and recycle bins from inside the property to the sidewalk. trespassed into plaintiff's vehicle with left side wheels on the top of an iron wood board, on the top of five sand bags, and with a metal bar broke the left side rear window of said vehicle: then he took his pick up out of the house's garage, waited a minute with the engine running in the driveway and took off. Latter the iron wood board was found dropped several feet inside plaintiff's pro-10 perty, for which anyone had to trespasse several feet inside said property to drop said board, and the wet sand bags spread all over ear's inside (loose sand ruining interior of the ear). Ineident happened so fast plaintiff did not have time to report it to the Sheriff's Department, but plaintiff's daughter (who stayed that night at plaintiff's home with her daughter since she was very seared said individual would once more molest her and, especially, her infant daughter) did the report to the Sheriff's Department.

Thus, plaintiff prays the court to give legal notice to said individual and sons (names unknown, but believed to live in the town of El Sobrante, California), as well as Michael Williams, of 970 Manor Road, in the same town of El Sobrante, Califormia 94803, with punishment according to each criminal actions. If necessary plaintiff prays the court to subpoena said indivividuals to court for discovery in their actions.

Plaintiff has reason to believe that defendant, as in 26 | previous lower courts actions, will argue this case in the basis of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act of Congress and since this action does not apply plaintiff requests a denial of such.

10

9

12

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

And in face of the death threats by mail (letter to plain tiff's wife to kiss very much loved beings because"they are going to die", letter which plaintiff disearded for fear of wife's distraught when she would find it), by phone messages (in a low tone of voice) psychological harass ment of daughter and granddaughter the last less than one year old and redundant to trauma, the flooding problem gotten so bad from the mid section of the fence (vide exhibit number 11)all across the lower lot and down by the house (and the foundation, specially) of the other neighbour's house (double jeopardy to plaintiff) started to develop a "sink hole": Exhibit number 12; exhibit number 9 not withstanding:whereas A. K. Norris told he was being photographed by plaintiff replied " I do not eare", while washing the engine and body of his pick up, with the running water going down to the roots of plaintiff's lemon tree, plaintiff accepted his children decision to move out (by then his own children got so disturbed that no longer had the will to fight) and if defendant sends her agents to actually kill this plaintiff hopes and prays this court will process defendant accordingly.

The first point in contention being the driveway having a deep and slant towards plaintiff's property so that water runs to plaintiff property and not inside defendant's garage; a contractor who came to give defendant an estimate of repairs and gave when plaintiff hope of solution to the problem and she was told the expense to incur she told him something like "you got to be out of your cotton picken mind" and he replied "did you ever take a look at your driveway?" and she answered :"I need a second opinion" "OK, but to get rid of the problem legally you have to throw the

17|

19

20

21

22

231

24

25

261

27

28

1 | water into the sewer line".

Defendant's paragraph 1 of Item 3 of the Contra Costa 3 County Small Claims court number 07-1018 (where she was plaintiff) 4 states that "water flows into properties...", however exhibits 5 numbers 2 and 3 shows water not reaching continuous driveways and 6 | exhibit number 3 shows water from extension rain gutter pipe at 7||the left of the house throwing waterinto the defendant's part of 8||the driveway, which being slanted and with a deep throws the water 9||into the street side of 5153 (plaintiffproperty's house)- water 10||to end up under plaintiff's house and opposite side of lot(vide 11 | exhibit number 5): in the beginning domestic partner of defendant 12||told plaintiff "his" property did not have any flooding, for which plaintiff submits to the court that all flooding water went to 14 his property. Then Norris let the water coming from the roof of "his" house and the rain gutter thru two plastic black hoses to 16||5153's lot: Norris stole plaintiff's sand bags and placed them to re-direct the water back into 5153's lot(exhibit number 6A). 18||So, plaintiff placed the construction hay bales to block it(vide exhibit number 8). Then Norris extended the technic to include the house lower rain gutter and deliver the water in white metallic hoses to the mid section of 5153's lot and broke "his" own old (about fifteen years) fence and run to Small Claims court of the County(as he asked the judge of department 146 - Perry vs. Walker and agents- of the same court, whereas plaintiff asked the court for a Restraining Order against agents, for compensation for salaries, when plaintiff did not ask for his appearance in said court, off hours of job) RSC 07-1018, for \$5,000.00 for the old fence, which had fallen exactly in the same place about five years ago.

1

5

6

4

7 8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16l

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

2611 27

28

Possibly because defendant's handyman friend, Michael Williams, who vandalized one of plaintiff's vehicles (vide exhibit number 10), possibly was involved in death threats via mail, phone message and personnally told plaintiff, coming out of 5151 Hilltop and going to his residence at 970 Manor Road(1 block down from previous location, almost bumping into plaintiff (and if plaintiff had not gotten out of his way would have been thrown down to the ground): "get out of town if you know what is good for you". Defendant and agents were saying that plantiff was "just an absentee land lord and had no business to go to 5153 Hilltop Drive". for which plaintiff was given a discurse by sargent Smith, of the Contra Costa County Sheriff's Department, at the Palace of Justice, in Richmond, California, where plaintiff had gone to ask him for an appointment to see lieutenant Little(?) to discuss defendant's agents; actions, whereas said sargent Smith, not only did not give plaintiff said appointment but also authoritarianly told plaintiff not to go there (5153 Hilltop) to see his children and grandehild, that renters had special rights; even after another Sheriff's deputy told him no renters were involved.

Other exhibits included in this complaint will tell of different aspects of the events.

Exhibits numbers 21 and 22 are estimates of plaintiff's property damages, to witt:

Engineered Soil Repairs, Inc.....\$61,908.00 Cowperth Waite & Hinninger (General Contractors).....\$16,670.00

978.50 B. A. F. Auto Body.....

1982 Pontiac Tl000 Repairs.... 3,000.00

\$82**.**556**.**50

Plaintiff prays the court for three times of plaintiff's repairs of his property for punitive damages from defendant for psychological damages for plaintiff and his family of \$247,669.50, (two hundred fourty seven thousand, six hundred sixty nine dollars and fifty cents) to a total of \$330,226.00 (three hundred thirty thousand, two hundred twenty six dollars). Respectfully submitted Daytenul Edgar Perry, in propria persona 2540 Market Avenue, phone (510) 234-1392 San Pablo, California 94806-4542

3

ase 3:08-cv-00787-CRB Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 9 of 9

MOTION TO ALLOW PLAINTIFF TO INCORPORATE CASE

Plaintiff moves to pray the court to allow him to incorporate the Small Claims Court RSC 07-1018, from the Bay Court, Superior Court of the Contra Costa County, State of California, to be heard at 100-37th. Street, Richmond, in Department 56, at or about 1:30 P. M. the reason being that said lower court's case is in reference the intentional flood by the defendant in this ease and a claim of anabout 15 years fence (which broke about five years ago exactly in the same spot) and precisely where defendent in this case had one of her agents flood plaintiff's lot by the same spot said fonce broke.

Respectfully submitted,

KOM

Edgar Yerry, in propria persona

2540 Market Avenue, Phone (510) 234-1392

San Pablo, California 94806-4542

12

11

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 3:08-cv-00787-CRB

S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS EDGAR TERRY, INP. R			STEPHANIE L. WALKER		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			NOTE: IN LAND C LAND INVO Attorneys (If Known)	IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONDEMNATION CASES, USE T	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 U.S. Government		•	FIZENSHIP OF P		
2 U.S. Government viversity	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	zen of Another State 2	2 Incorporated and Prime of Business In Ar	cipal Place 5 5 5
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Dis abilitie Employment 446 Amer. w/Dis abilitie Employment 446 Amer. w/Dis abilitie 1440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury Med. Mapracti 365 Personal Injury Product Liabilit 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Property Dama Product Liabilit PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vac Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 55 — 540 Mandamus & C 550 Civil Rights	FIRY Consider Constitution of the constitution	ORFEITURE/PENALT 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relatio 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting A Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigatio 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Applicating 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Original Proceeding Original Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing Original Origi					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) DATE	IS IS A CLASS ACTIO P. 23 ER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-1 RELATED CASE". 3-2)	12 CON	CERNING REQUIRE RANCISCO/OAKLA FRANCISCO	MENT TO FILE	
244 08	- Los	ON	cerry, in	TROAKETA	HEASONA